

## The falsity of a conjecture concerning the percolation threshold

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 2887

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/12/036>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 06:27

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## COMMENT

# The falsity of a conjecture concerning the percolation threshold

A B Harris†

Schlumberger-Doll Research, P O Box 307, Ridgefield, CT 06877, USA

Received 9 March 1983

**Abstract.** A recent conjecture relating the critical concentration for bond percolation and a lattice Green function is shown to be false.

Recently Sahimi *et al* (1983) have conjectured that the critical concentration  $p_c^{(d)}$  for nearest-neighbour bond percolation on the  $d$ -dimensional hypercubic lattice is exactly equal to the corresponding lattice Green function  $G_0^{(d)}$ . The latter is given by

$$G_0^{(d)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_q \frac{1}{2d(1-\gamma_q)}, \quad (1)$$

where the sum is taken over the first Brillouin zone consisting of  $N$  points and

$$\gamma_q = (\cos q_1 a + \cos q_2 a + \dots + \cos q_d a)/d, \quad (2)$$

where  $q_n$  is the  $n$ th component of the  $d$ -dimensional lattice vector  $q$ . Here we show that this conjecture is false.

To do this we simply compare the expansion of the two quantities in powers of  $d^{-1}$  for large  $d$ . From the work of Gaunt and Ruskin (1978) we have

$$p_c^{(d)} = (1/2d)[1 + 1/2d + 7/8d^2 + \dots]. \quad (3)$$

The expansion of  $G_0^{(d)}$  takes the form

$$G_0^{(d)} = \frac{1}{2dN} \sum_q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_q)^{2n}. \quad (4)$$

Keeping terms up to  $n = 2$  we have

$$G_0^{(d)} = \frac{1}{2d} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2d} + \frac{6d-3}{8d^3} + O(d^{-3}) \right) = \frac{1}{2d} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2d} + \frac{3}{4d^2} + O(d^{-3}) \right). \quad (5a, b)$$

Comparing equations (3) and (5) we obtain

$$p_c^{(d)} - G_0^{(d)} = 1/16d^3 + O(d^{-4}).$$

Thus  $p_c^{(d)}$  and  $G_0^{(d)}$  are definitely not exactly equal in general dimension. For  $d = 2$ , in fact,  $G_0^{(d)}$  is infinite, whereas  $p_c^{(d)}$  is finite.

I would like to thank J Koplik for pointing out this problem.

Permanent address: Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104, USA.

**References**

Gaunt D S and Ruskin H 1978 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **11** 1369–80

Sahimi M, Hughes B D, Scriven L E and Davis H T 1983 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** L67–72